arXiv:1204.3248v6 [math.DG] 25 May 2012

Harmonic Sections of Dirac Bundles

Simone Farinelli [*]

March 2, 2013

Abstract

We study the clustering of the lowest non negative eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on a general Dirac bundle when the metric structure is varied. In the classical case we show that any closed spin manifold of dimension $m \geq 4$ has a Riemannian metric admitting non trivial harmonic spinors.

1 Introduction

Let M be a closed m-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The dimension of the kernel of the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on p-forms is a topological invariant called the p-th Betti number of M.

On a Riemannian spin manifold (M, g, s) with metric g and spin structure s we consider the classical Dirac operator D, which is a first order PDO acting on the differentiable sections of the spinor bundle ΣM (termed as spinors) and ask whether the dimension $h(M, g, s)$ of its kernel $\ker(D)$, the space of harmonic spinors, is a topological invariant. The answer to this question is in general negative. Hitchin [Hi74] computed the Dirac spectrum for a one parameter family of metrics on S^3 called the *Berger metrics*: for a generic value of the parameter there are no harmonic spinors but for specific values the spectrum contains zero.

The spinor bundle ΣM over a spin manifold M of an arbitrary dimension $m \geq 2$ splits into an orthogonal direct sum $\Sigma M = \Sigma M^+ \bigoplus \Sigma M^-$ corresponding to the eigenvalues of the normalized orientation, a spinor bundle isomorphism S commuting with the D in odd dimensions and anticommuting in even dimensions. In the latter case, the Dirac operator allows for the splitting

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D^+ \\ D^- & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

and $h(M, g, s) = h^+(M, g, s) + h^-(M, g, s)$, where $h^{\pm}(M, g, s) := \ker(D^{\pm})$ are the dimensions of spaces of the positive and, respectively, negative harmonic

---

[*] Simone Farinelli, Ettenbergstrasse 13, CH-8907 Wettswil am Albis, Switzerland, e-mail simone.farinelli@bluewin.ch

spinors. If the dimension of the manifold is divisible by 4, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem says

$$h^+(M,g,s) - h^-(M,g,s)) = \widehat{A}(M), \tag{2}$$

where $\widehat{A}(M)$ denotes the A-hat genus of M (cf. [AS68]). Therefore, the dimension of the space of harmonic spinors $h(M,g,s)$ satisfies

$$m \in 4\mathbf{N}_1 \Rightarrow h(M,g,s) \geq |\widehat{A}(M)|, \tag{3}$$

for *any* Riemannian metric g on M. Thereby, $\mathbf{N}_p := \{p, p+1, p+2, \dots\}$. For dimensions $m \equiv 1, 2 \mod 8$ there are similar results (cf. [AS71]) for generic metrics using Milnor's α-genus (cf. [Mi65]):

$$\begin{aligned} m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + 1 \Rightarrow & h(M,g,s) \geq |\alpha(M)| \\ m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + 2 \Rightarrow & h(M,g,s) \geq 2|\alpha(M)|. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

These inequalities provide a *topological* lower bound for a *metric* invariant, the dimension of the space of the harmonic spinors. In more recent years (cf. [BD02], [Ma97], [An96]), there have been extensive studies on D-minimal Riemannian metrics for which the the dimension of the space of harmonic spinors is defined to be no larger than it must be by the index theorem. The current picture is

Manifold Dimension	Milnor's Genus	Harmonic Spinors' Space Dimension
$m \in 4\mathbb{N}_1$	$\alpha(M) \geq 0$	$h^+(M,g,s) = \widehat{A}(M)$ $h^+(M,g,s) = 0$
	$\alpha(M) < 0$	$h^+(M,g,s) = 0$ $h^-(M,g,s) = -\widehat{A}(M)$
$m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + 1$	$\alpha(M) = 0$	$h(M,g,s) = 0$
	$\alpha(M) = 1$	$h(M,g,s) = 1$
$m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1+2$	$\alpha(M) = 0$	$h^+(M,g,s) = h^-(M,g,s) = 0$
	$\alpha(M) = 1$	$h^+(M,g,s) = h^-(M,g,s) = 1$
$m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + \{3,5,6,7\}$	$\alpha(M) = 0$	$h(M,g,s) = 0$

Bär and Dahl showed (cf. [BD02]) using surgery and spin bordism arguments that any generic Riemannian metric on a closed simply-connected spin manifold of dimension ≥ 5 is D-minimal. Later this result was extended by Amman, Dahl and Humbert (cf. [ADH09]) to arbitrary Riemannian metrics. Therefore,

$$\Pi_1(M) = \{e\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} m \in 4\mathbb{N}_2 \Rightarrow & h(M,g,s) = |\widehat{A}(M)| \\ m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + 1 \Rightarrow & h(M,g,s) = |\alpha(M)| \\ m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + 2 \Rightarrow & h(M,g,s) = 2|\alpha(M)|. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

In other words, in dimension $m \in 8\mathbb{N}_1 + \{1,2\}$, for spin manfolds with trivial first fundamental group $\Pi_1(M)$, there are no topological obstructions to the existence of non trivial harmonic spinors.

Are there Riemannian metrics with non-trivial harmonic spinors on all closed spin manifolds? This is false in dimension 2, because for any eigenvalue λ of the Dirac operator Bär proved in [Bär91] and in [Bär92] that

$$\lambda^2 \geq \frac{4\pi}{\mathrm{vol}(S^2, g)}. \tag{6}$$

If M is a closed surface, we have the following situation (cf. [BS92]):

Genus	Harmonic Spinors' Space Dimension
$g = 0$	$h(M, g, s) = 0$ for any metric and spin structure
$g = 1, 2$	$h(M, g, s)$ is independent of the metric but not of the spin structure
$g \geq 3$	$h(M, g, s)$ depends on the metric

In the case of spheres S^m following results are known (cf. [Bär91], [Bär96] and [Se00]):

Sphere Dimension	Harmonic Spinors' Space Dimension
$m \in 4\mathbb{N}_0 + 3$	$h(S^m, g, s) > 0$ for the Berger metric and any spin structure s
$m \in 4\mathbb{N}_1$	For every $k \in \mathbf{N}_1$ and any spin structure s there exits a metric g such that $h(S^m, g, s) > k$

Therefore the following conjecture lies at hand.

Conjecture. Let (M, s) be a closed spin manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ with fixed spin structure s. Then, there exists a Riemannian metric g on M such that

$$h(M, g, s) > 0. \tag{7}$$

Using the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families and the theory of exotic spheres Hitchin [Hi74] has shown that the conjecture is true for $m \equiv 0, 1, 7 \mod 8$. Utilizing a gluing theorem, Bär [Bär96] has proven it for $m \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Both these results follow as a corollary from Dahl's theorem on invertible Dirac operators (cf. [Da08]). Dahl proves in [Da05] that every compact spin manifold of dimension ≥ 3 can be given a Riemannian metric for which a finite part of the spectrum of the Dirac operator consists of arbitrarily prescribed non-zero eigenvalues with multiplicity 1.

The main contribution of this paper is the proof of the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed spin manifold of dimension $m \geq 4$. Let a spin structure s be fixed. Then, there exists a Riemannian metric on M such that the corresponding Dirac operator has non-trivial kernel, i.e. there are non-trivial harmonic spinors and*

$$h(M, g, s) > 0. \tag{8}$$

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 recalls the basics of the standard theory in order to make the exposition self-contained. Section 3 collects and develops several results on the spectrum of the Dirac operator and the Dirac Laplacian, which are connected in section 4 to prove the theorem. Section 5 concludes.

2 Dirac Bundles

The purpose of this chapter is to recall some basic definitions concerning the theory of Dirac operators, establishing the necessary selfcontained notation and introducing the standard examples. The general references are [LM89], [BW93], [BGV96] and [Bär91].

2.1 Dirac Bundle

Definition 1. The quadruple $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$, where

(i) V is a complex (real) vector bundle over the Riemannian manifold (M, g) with Hermitian (Riemannian) structure $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$,

(ii) $\nabla : C^\infty(M, V) \to C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes V)$ is a connection on M,

(iii) $\gamma : \mathrm{Cl}(M, g) \to \mathrm{Hom}(V)$ is a *real* algebra bundle homomorphism from the Clifford bundle over M to the *real* bundle of complex (real) endomorphisms of V, i.e. V is a bundle of Clifford modules,

is said to be a **Dirac bundle**, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(iv) $\gamma(v)^* = -\gamma(v)$, $\forall v \in TM$ i.e. the Clifford multiplication by tangent vectors is fiberwise skew-adjoint with respect to the Hermitian (Riemannian) structure $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

(v) $\nabla\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = 0$ i.e. the connection is Leibnizian (Riemannian). In other words it satisfies the product rule:

$$d\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle = \langle\nabla\varphi, \psi\rangle + \langle\varphi, \nabla\psi\rangle, \quad \forall\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M, V). \tag{9}$$

(vi) $\nabla\gamma = 0$ i.e. the connection is a module derivation. In other words it satisfies the product rule:

$$\nabla(\gamma(w)\varphi) = \gamma(\nabla^g w)\varphi + \gamma(w)\nabla\varphi, \quad \begin{aligned}&\forall\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M, V),\\ &\forall w \in C^\infty(M, \mathrm{Cl}(M, g)).\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Among the different geometric structures on Riemanniann Manifolds satisfying the definition of a Dirac bundle (cf. [Gi95]) the canonical example is the spinor bundle.

Definition 2. (M, g, s) is called a **spin manifold** if and only if

(i) (M, g) is a m-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold.

(ii) s is a *spin structure* for M, i.e. for $m \geq 3$ s is a $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$ principal fibre bundle over M, admitting a double covering map $\pi : s \to SO(M)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
s \times \mathrm{Spin}(m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi\times\Theta"] & s \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & M \\
\mathrm{SO}(M) \times \mathrm{SO}(m) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{SO}(M) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

where $\mathrm{SO}(M)$ denotes the $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ principal fiber bundle of the oriented basis of the tangential spaces, and $\Theta : \mathrm{Spin}(m) \to \mathrm{SO}(m)$ the canonical double covering. The maps $s \times \mathrm{Spin}(m) \to s$ and $\mathrm{SO}(M) \times \mathrm{SO}(m) \to \mathrm{SO}(M)$ describe the right action of the structure groups $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$ and $SO(m)$ on the principal fibre bundles s and $SO(M)$ respectively.
When $m = 2$ a spin structure on M is defined analogously with $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$ replaced by $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ and $\Theta : \mathrm{SO}(2) \to \mathrm{SO}(2)$ the connected two-sheet covering. When $m = 1$ $\mathrm{SO}(M) \cong M$ and a spin structure is simply defined to be a two-fold covering of M.

The vector bundle over M associated to s with respect to the *spin representation* ρ i.e.

$$\Sigma M := s \underset{\rho}{\times} \mathbf{C}^l \quad l := 2^{[\frac{m}{2}]} \tag{12}$$

is called *spinor bundle* over M, see [Bär91] page 18.

Example 2.1. (Spinor bundle as a Dirac bundle.) Let (M, g, s) be a spin manifold of dimension m. We can make the spinor bundle into a Dirac bundle by the following choices:

- $V := \Sigma M$: spinor bundle, $\mathrm{rank}(V) = l$.
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: Riemannian structure induced by the standard Hermitian product in $\mathbf{C}^l$, which is $\mathrm{Spin}(m)$-invariant, and by the representation ρ.
- $\nabla = \nabla^{\Sigma}$: spin connection = lift of the Levi-Civita connection to the spinor bundle.
- By mean of the Clifford $\cdot$ product, we define

$$\gamma : \begin{array}{lll} TM & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(V) \\ v & \longmapsto & \gamma(v), \text{ where } \gamma(v)\varphi := v \cdot \varphi. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

We identified TM with $SO(M) \underset{\alpha}{\times} \mathbf{R}^m$, where α is the standard representation of $\mathbf{R}^m$, and ΣM with $s \underset{\rho}{\times} \mathbf{C}^l$. Since $\gamma^2(v) = -g(v, v)\mathbb{1}$, by the universal property, the map γ extends uniquely to a real algebra bundle endomorphism $\gamma : \mathrm{Cl}(M, g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V)$.

Example 2.2. (Exterior algebra bundle as a Dirac Bundle.) Let (M, g) be a C^∞ Riemannian manifold of dimension m. The tangent and the cotangent bundles are identified by the $\flat$-map defined by $v^\flat(w) := g(v, w)$. Its inverse is denoted by $\sharp$. The exterior algebra can be seen as a Dirac bundle after the following choices:

- $V := \Lambda(T^*M) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} \Lambda^j(T^*M)$: exterior algebra over M.
- $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$: Riemannian structure induced by g.
- ∇: (lift of the) Levi Civita connection.
- By means of interior and exterior multiplication, $\mathrm{int}(v)\varphi := \varphi(v, \cdot)$ and $\mathrm{ext}(v)\varphi := v^\flat \wedge \varphi$, we can define

$$\gamma : \begin{array}{ccc} TM & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(V) \\ v & \longmapsto & \gamma(v) := \mathrm{ext}(v) - \mathrm{int}(v). \end{array} \tag{14}$$

  Recall that, since $\gamma^2(v) = -g(v, v)\mathbb{1}$, by the universal property, the map γ extends uniquely to a real algebra bundle endomorphism $\gamma : \mathrm{Cl}(M, g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V)$.

Example 2.3. (Antiholomorphic Bundle as a Dirac Bundle). Let (M, g, Ω, J) be a Kähler manifold of even dimension m with Riemannian metric g, closed Kähler 2-form Ω and almost complex structure $J \in \mathrm{Hom}(TM)$ satisfying $J^2 = -\mathbb{1}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be an holomorphic hermitian bundle over M with hermitian structure $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{W}}$ and canonical connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{W}}$. The antiholomorphic bundle can be seen as a Dirac bundle after the following choices:

- $V := \Lambda(T^{0,1}M)^* \otimes \mathcal{W}$: antiholomorphic bundle over M.
- $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle := g^{\Lambda(T^{0,1}M)^*} \otimes \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{W}}$.
- $\nabla := \nabla^{g^{\Lambda(T^{0,1}M)^*}} \otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{W}}$.
- By means of interior and exterior multiplication, we can define

$$\gamma : \begin{array}{lcl} TM = TM^{1,0} \oplus TM^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(V) \\ v = v^{1,0} \oplus v^{0,1} & \longmapsto & \gamma(v) := \sqrt{2}(\mathrm{ext}(v^{1,0}) - \mathrm{int}(v^{0,1})). \end{array} \tag{15}$$

  Since $\gamma^2(v) = -g(v, v)\mathbb{1}$, by the universal property, the map γ extends uniquely to a real algebra bundle endomorphism $\gamma : \mathrm{Cl}(M, g) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V)$.

Remark 2.1. Let (M, g, s) be a spin manifold. The spin connection ∇^Σ is locally given by a spinor endomorphism valued 1-form. More exactly, let $U \subset M$ be any contractible open subset of M, $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ a local orthonormal frame for $TM|_U$ with corresponding Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k, (i.e. $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k$), and $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ a local frame for $\Sigma M|_U$. We write

$$\nabla^\Sigma|_U = d + \omega^{\Sigma,U}, \tag{16}$$

where $\omega^{\Sigma,U} \in C^\infty(U, T^*M \otimes \Sigma M|_U)$ meaning by this:
if for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, \Sigma M)$, we decompose $\varphi|_U = f^j s_j$ where $f^j \in C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$, then

$$\nabla^\Sigma(\varphi|_U) = df^j \otimes s_j + f^j \omega^{\Sigma,U} \otimes s_j. \tag{17}$$

Being ∇^Σ the lift of the Levi-Civita connection, we find

$$\omega^{\Sigma,U} = \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^k_{ij}\gamma(e_j)\gamma(e_k)(e_i)^\flat. \tag{18}$$

One can ask, how general the definition of Dirac bundle is, in particular allowing for formula (18). The answer is as follows: it is always possible to make a bundle of Clifford modules into a Dirac bundle, such that the connection is an extension of the Levi-Civita connection. However, this extension procedure is only locally but not globally unique. In fact the following statement is implicitely contained in [BW93] Chapter 2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (V, γ) be a bundle of Clifford modules over the Riemannian manifold (M, g) like in (iii) of definition 1. Then there exist:*

- *a Hermitian (Riemannian) structure $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on V, making the Clifford multiplication γ by tangent vectors $v \in TM$ fibrewise skewadjoint like in (iv)*
- *a connection ∇ on V, which is Leibnizian (Riemannian), a module derivation like in (v)-(vi) and an extension of the Levi-Civita connection as in formula (18),*

such that $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$ is a Dirac bundle.

Remark 2.2. By formula (7) the connection ∇ is locally well and uniquely defined over contractible open subsets of M. One obtains then a global well defined ∇ by patching together the local connections, using a partition of unity: a convex linear combination of compatible connections is compatible. However, in general different choices of a cover of contractible open subsets of M and of a subordinate partition of unity give rise to different compatible connections.

The restriction of a Dirac bundle to a one codimensiional submanifold is again a Dirac bundle, as following theorem (cf. [Gi93] and [Bär96]) shows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$ be a Dirac bundle over the Riemannian manifold (M, g) and let $N \subset M$ be a one codimensional submanifold with normal vector filed ν. Then $(N, g|_N)$ inherits a Dirac bundle structure by restriction. We mean by this that the bundle $V|_N$, the connection $\nabla|_{C^\infty(N,V|_N)}$, the real algebra bundle homomorphism $\gamma_N := -\gamma(\nu)\gamma|_{\mathrm{Cl}(N,g|_N)}$, and the Hermitian (Riemannian) structure $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle|_N$ satisfy the defining properties (iv)-(vi). The quadruple $(V|_N, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle|_N, \nabla|_{C^\infty(N,V|_N)}, \gamma_N)$ is called the* ***Dirac bundle structure induced on*** *N by the Dirac bundle $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$ on M.*

2.2 Dirac Operator and Dirac Laplacian

Definition 3. Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$ be a Dirac bundle over the Riemannian manifold (M, g). The **Dirac operator** $Q : C^\infty(M, V) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, V)$ is defined by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^\infty(M,V) \arrow[r, "\nabla"] \arrow[d, "Q:=\gamma\circ(\sharp\otimes\mathbb{1})\circ\nabla"'] & C^\infty(M,T^*M\otimes V) \arrow[d, "\sharp\otimes\mathbb{1}"] \\
C^\infty(M,V) & C^\infty(M,TM\otimes V) \arrow[l, "\gamma"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

The square of the Dirac operator $P := Q^2 : C^\infty(M, V) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, V)$ is called the **Dirac Laplacian**.

Remark 2.3. The Dirac operator Q depends on the Riemannian metric g and on the homomorphism γ. If different metrics or homomorphisms are considered, then the notation $Q = Q^g{}_\gamma = Q^g = Q_\gamma$ is utilized to avoid ambiguities.

Proposition 2.3. *The Dirac operator is a first order differential operator over M. Its leading symbol is given by the Clifford multiplication:*

$$\sigma_L(Q)(x,\xi) = \imath\,\gamma(\xi^\sharp) \tag{20}$$

where $\imath := \sqrt{-1}$. The Dirac operator has the following local representation:

$$Q(\varphi|_U) = \gamma(e_j)\nabla_{e_j}(\varphi|_U) \tag{21}$$

for a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ for $TM|_U$ and a section $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, V)$. The Dirac Laplacian is a second order partial differential operator over M. Its leading symbol is given by the Riemannian metric:

$$\sigma_L(Q^2)(x,\xi) = g_x(\xi^\sharp, \xi^\sharp)\mathbb{1}_{V_x} \quad \forall x \in M,\ \xi \in T^*_x M. \tag{22}$$

Example 2.4 (**Atiyah-Singer Operator and Spin Laplacian**)**.** The Dirac operator in the case of spin manifolds (M, g, S) is the Atiyah-Singer operator D^g_γ on the sections of the spinor bundle ΣM. The Dirac Laplacian $\Delta^g_\gamma := (D^g_\gamma)^2$ is the spin Laplacian.

Example 2.5 (**Euler and Laplace-Beltrami Operators**)**.** The Dirac operator in the case of the exterior algebra bundle over Riemannian manifolds (M, g) is the Euler operator $d + \delta$ on forms on M. The Dirac Laplacian $\Delta := (d + \delta)^2 = d\delta + \delta d$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

Example 2.6 (**Clifford operator and Complex Laplacian**)**.** The Dirac operator in the case of antiholomorphic bundles over Kähler manifolds (M, g, Ω, J) is the Clifford operator $\sqrt{2}(\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^*)$, while the Dirac Laplacian is the complex laplacian $2(\overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^* + \overline{\partial}^*\overline{\partial})$.

3 Spectral Properties

We consider Dirac bundles over compact manifolds, possibly with boundary. The existence of a regular discrete spectral resolution for both Dirac and Dirac Laplacian operators under appropriate boundary conditions is a special case of the standard elliptic boundary theory developed by Seeley ([Sl66], [Sl69]) and Greiner ([Gre70], [Gre71]). The general references are [Gr96] and [Hö85]. See [BW93] and [Gi95] for the specific case of the Dirac and Dirac Lapacian operators.

3.1 Manifolds without Boundary

By Green's formula both Dirac Q and Dirac Laplacian P operators for a Dirac bundle V over a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary are easily seen to be symmetric operators for the C^∞-sections of Dirac bundle. Taking as domain of definition the closure of the differentiable sections of V in the Sobolev H^1- and respectively H^2-topology, leads to two selfadjoint operators in $L^2(V)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The Dirac Q and the Dirac Laplacian P operators of a Dirac bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold M without boundary have a regular discrete spectral resolution with the same eigenspaces. It exists a sequence $(\varphi_j, \lambda_j)_{j\geq 0}$ such that $(\varphi_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(V)$ and for every $j \geq 0$ it must hold $Q\varphi_j = \lambda_j\varphi_j$, $P\varphi_j = \lambda_j^2\varphi_j$ and $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(V)$. The eigenvalues of the Dirac operator $(\lambda_j)_{j\geq 0}$ form a sequence of reals increasing in absolute value converging to ∞ for $j \to +\infty$. The eigenvalues of the Dirac Laplacian are the squares of the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator and hence not negative.*

Therefore, in any dimensions, for a Dirac bundle over a manifold without boundary the knowledge of the absolute value of spectrum for the Dirac operator and the Dirac Laplacian are completely equivalent.

3.2 Manifolds with Boundary

The case of manifolds with boundary is more complex and the spectra of the Dirac and Dirac Laplacians are no more equivalent as they are in the boundaryless case. Moreover, while for the Dirac Laplacian it is always possible to find local elliptic boundary conditions allowing for a discrete spectral resolution, this is not always true for the Dirac operator (see Chapter 1.11.6 in [Gi95]). The Dirac Laplacian P for a Dirac bundle V over a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary is easily seen by Green's formula to be a symmetric operator for the C^∞-sections of Dirac bundle if we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition $B_D\varphi := \varphi|_{\partial M} = 0$ or the Neumann boundary condition $B_N\varphi = \nabla_\nu\varphi|_{\partial M} = 0$. Taking as domain of definition the closure of the differentiable sections of V satisfying the boundary conditions in the Sobolev H^2-topology, leads to a selfadjoint operator in $L^2(V)$.

Theorem 3.2. *The Dirac Laplacian P of a Dirac bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold M with boundary under the Neumann or the Dirichlet condition has a regular discrete spectral resolution $(\varphi_j, \lambda_j)_{j\geq 0}$. This means that $(\varphi_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(V)$ and that for every $j \geq 0$ it must hold $P\varphi_j = \lambda_j\varphi_j$, $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(V)$, and $B\varphi_j = 0$ for either $B = B_D$ or $B = B_N$. The eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{j\geq 0}$ are a monotone increasing real sequence bounded from below and converging to infinity. The Dirichlet eigenvalues are all strictly positive. The Neumann eigenvalues are all but for a finite number strictly positive.*

3.3 Spectral Upper Bounds Estimates

The aim of this section is to review the classic method of finding upper bounds on the spectrum of Dirac Laplacian under the Dirichlet boundary condition. The Courant-Hilbert theorem, provides a useful technique relating the eigenvalues on the whole manifold with the eigenvalues of a manifold decomposition. The Courant-Hilbert (cf. [CH93]), which was originally formulated for the Laplacian on functions on domains in $\mathbf{R}^m$ in the early 20's of last century, can be extended to the Dirac Laplacian (actually to any formally selfadjoint operator of Laplace type). This generalization is stated in [Bär91] and explicitely carried out in [Fa98]. The proofs are formally identical to the case of real functions on domains in $\mathbf{R}^m$ as in [Cha84] using the variational characterization of eigenvalues by means of the Rayleigh quotient.

Theorem 3.3 (**Spectral Upper Bounds for Dirichlet Eigenvalues**). *Consider a compact, oriented, Riemannian manifold M with (smooth) boundary ∂M, carrying a Dirac bundle. Let P the Dirac Laplacian and decompose the manifold as*

$$M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r} M_k \tag{23}$$

where $M_1, \dots, M_r$ are finitely many 0-codimensional submanifolds with (smooth) boundaries ∂M_k and pairwise disjoint interiors. We require for a boundary ∂M_k intersecting ∂M that it agrees with the corresponding boundary connected component of ∂M. The operator P_{B_D} for the Dirichlet boundary condition is selfadjoint by Theorem 3.2 and has a discrete spectrum. We denote by $(\lambda_j)_{j\geq 0} := \operatorname{spec}(P_{B_D})$ the Dirichlet eigenvalues for the operator P on M, by $(\mu_j^k)_{j\geq 0} := \operatorname{spec}((P|_{M_k})_{B_D})$ the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the operator P on M_k. Choose any $s \leq r$ and define $(\mu_j)_{j\geq 0} := \bigcup_{k=1}^{s}(\mu_j^k)_{j\geq 0}$. All the sequences are ordered non decreasingly and all the eigenvalues are repeated according to their multiplicities. Then, the following inequality between eigenvalues holds:

$$\lambda_j \leq \mu_j, \tag{24}$$

for all $j \geq 0$.

An analogous result for spectral lower bounds holds true for the Neumann boundary condition (cf. [Bär91] and [Fa98]), but we will not need it in the following.

3.4 Warped Products

We want to compute the eigenvalues of the Dirac Laplacian under the Dirichlet boundary condition for a warped product. We consider a cylindrical manifold $Z := [0,t] \times N$, where $(N, d\sigma^2)$ is a $m-1$ dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $t > 0$ is a given parameter. A Riemannian metric for Z is defined by $g := du^2 + \rho^2(u)d\sigma^2$, for a given smooth function ρ. We assume that Z carries a Dirac bundle structure, which by theorem 2.2, induces on each 1-codimensional submanifold $N_u := \{u\} \times N$ with the metric $\rho(u)d\sigma^2$ a Dirac bundle structure.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $Q^N := Q^{N_u}$ denote the Dirac operator on N_u, $P^N := \left(Q^{N_u}\right)^2$ the Dirac Laplacian on N_u and $H = -\frac{\rho'}{\rho}$ the mean curvature of N_u in Z. The Dirac Laplacian P^Z on Z Laplacian can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} P^Z\sigma =& P^N_s\sigma + [Q^N, \nabla^Z_{\partial_u}]\sigma + \left(\frac{m-1}{2}H' - \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)^2 H^2\right)\sigma + \\ &+ (m-1)H\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma - (\nabla^Z_{\partial_u})^2\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

for any smooth section σ of the Dirac bundle.

Proof. According to Bär [Bär96] the Dirac operator on the warped product writes as

$$Q^Z\sigma = \gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\sigma - \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma + \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma. \tag{26}$$

So, for the Dirac Laplacian we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^Z\sigma &= (Q^Z)^2\sigma = \\ &= Q^Z\left(\gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\sigma - \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma + \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma\right) = \\ &= \gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\left(\gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\sigma - \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma + \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma\right) + \\ &- \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)(\gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\sigma - \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma + \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma) + \\ &+ \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\left(\gamma(\partial_u)Q^N\sigma - \frac{m-1}{2}H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma + \gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma\right) = \\ &= (Q^N)^2\sigma + (Q^N\nabla^Z_{\partial_u} - \nabla^Z_{\partial_u}Q^N)\sigma - (\nabla^Z_{\partial_u})^2\sigma + \\ &+ \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\partial_u H - \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)^2 H^2\right)\sigma + 2(m-1)H\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

which is the assertion of the lemma. Thereby we used that

$$\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\partial_u = 0 \tag{28}$$

and that

$$-\gamma(\partial_u)\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}(H\gamma(\partial_u)\sigma) = \partial_u H\sigma + H\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma. \tag{29}$$

The proof is completed.

□

Proposition 3.5. *The parallel transport* Π_Z *in the Dirac bundle along the* u *lines of the warped product* Z *satisfies the following equation:*

$$Q^{N_u}\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u} = \frac{\rho(u_0)}{\rho(u)}\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u}Q^{N_{u_0}}, \tag{30}$$

where $u_0, u \in [0,t]$.

Proof. Let $\partial_1 := \partial_u, \partial_2, \dots, \partial_m$ be an orthogonal frame for TU, where U is an open set in Z. Equation (30) follows from the formulae

$$\nabla^{N_u}_{\frac{1}{\rho(u)}\partial_i}\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u} = \frac{\rho(u_0)}{\rho(u)}\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u}\nabla^{N_{u_0}}_{\frac{1}{\rho(u_0)}\partial_i(u_0)} \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u}\gamma\left(\frac{1}{\rho(u_0)}\partial_i(u_0)\right) = \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\rho(u)}\partial_i\right)\Pi_Z^{u_0\to u} \tag{32}$$

which can be verified by a computation. □

Let $\{\sigma_j(u_0)\}_{j\geq 0}$ be a L^2-o.n. eigenbasis of $Q^{N_{u_0}}$ over N_{u_0}. Since $\gamma(\partial_u)$ anticommutes with Q^{N_u}, the section $\gamma(\partial_{u_0})\sigma_j(u_0)$ is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue $-\mu_j$, if we assume that σ_j is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue μ_j. Hence, we may assume $\sigma_{j+1} = \gamma(\partial_u)\sigma_j$ and $\mu_{j+1} = -\mu_j$.

Parallel transport along the u-lines generates sections $\sigma_j = \sigma_j(u,\cdot)$. By Proposition 3.5, when restricted to N_u for u fixed, $(\sigma_j(u,\cdot))_{j\geq 0}$ is a L^2-o.n. eigenbasis of Q^{N_u} with eigenvalues $\mu_j(u) = \frac{\rho(u_0)}{\rho(u)}\mu_j(u_0)$. Since $\gamma(\partial_u)$ is parallel along the u-lines, the equality $\sigma_{j+1}(u,\cdot) = \gamma(\partial_u)\sigma_j(u,\cdot)$ holds for all u.

Let now σ be a smooth section over Z. Restriction to N_u for a u fixed yields a smooth section over N_u which can be expressed in the basis $(\sigma_j(u,\cdot))_{j\geq 0}$ as

$$\sigma(u,\cdot) = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_j(u)\sigma_j(u,\cdot). \tag{33}$$

By virtue of this representation we can rephrase eigenvalue equation and boundary condition for the warped product Z.

Proposition 3.6. *The eigenvalue equation for the Dirac Laplacian* $P^Z\sigma = \lambda\sigma$ *and the Dirichlet boundary condition* $\sigma|_{\partial Z} = 0$ *are equivalent to the equation system*

$$\begin{aligned} &-a_j'' + (m-1)Ha_j' + \left(\mu_j^2 - \mu_j' + \frac{m-1}{2}H' - \frac{(m-1)^2}{4}H^2 - \lambda\right)a_j = 0 \\ &\quad a_j(0) = a_j(t) = 0 \quad (j \geq 0). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

If there is an harmonic section on N, *the Dirichlet eigenvalues on* Z *comprise*

$$\left\{\frac{\pi^2(n+1)^2}{t^2}\middle| n \in \mathbf{N}_0\right\}. \tag{35}$$

Proof. We insert the decomposition (33) into the equation $(P^Z - \lambda)\sigma = 0$ where we represent P^Z using Lemma 3.4. Since $\nabla^Z_{\partial_u}\sigma(u, \cdot) = 0$, being σ_j parallel along the u-lines, we obtain

$$\begin{split}\sum_{j\geq 0}\Big[-a_j'' + (m-1)Ha_j' +\\ + \left(\mu_j^2 - \mu_j' + \frac{m-1}{2}H' - \frac{(m-1)^2}{4}H^2 - \lambda\right)a_j\Big]\sigma_j = 0.\end{split} \tag{36}$$

This equation is satisfied if and only if all coefficients vanish and the equation system (34) follows. To solve it we introduce the substitution

$$\bar{a}_j(u) := e^{-\int_0^u ds\left(\mu_j^2(s) - \mu_j'(s) + \frac{m-1}{2}H' - \frac{(m-1)^2}{4}H^2(s)\right)}a_j(u), \tag{37}$$

under which system (34) becomes

$$\begin{split}-\bar{a}_j'' + \left(\mu_j^2 - \mu_j' - \lambda\right)\bar{a}_j = 0\\ \bar{a}_j(0) = \bar{a}_j(t) = 0 \quad (j \geq 0).\end{split} \tag{38}$$

If there is a non trivial harmonic section of the Dirac bundle over N, then $\mu_0(0) = 0$. System (38) becomes for $j = 0$

$$\begin{split}-\bar{a}_0'' - \lambda\bar{a}_0 = 0\\ \bar{a}_0(0) = \bar{a}_0(t) = 0,\end{split} \tag{39}$$

which can be easily seen to have non trivial solution if

$$\lambda \in \left\{\frac{\pi^2(n+1)^2}{t^2}\middle| n \in \mathbf{N}_0\right\}. \tag{40}$$

The proof is completed.

□

3.5 First Variation of the Eigenvalues

Bourguignon and Gauduchon ([BG92]) have computed the first derivative of the eigenvalues of the classic Dirac operator when the Riemannian metric is varied. Their result can be slightly generalized to

Theorem 3.7. *Let $g^t := g + tk$ be a variation of the Riemannian structure g on the compact manifold M for a given symmetric 2-tensor field k and $(V^t, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^t, \nabla^t, \gamma^t)$ an analytic one-parameter family of Dirac bundles on (M, g^t). Let $\{(\psi_j^t, \lambda_j^t)\}_{j\geq 0}$ denote the discrete spectral resolution of the Dirac operator $Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}$. The first and second derivative of the eigenvalues with respect to the parameter t satisfies the following equations*

$$\begin{split}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0}\lambda_j\left(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M dvol_g \operatorname{Tr}\left(kW^0_{\psi_j^0}\right)\\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\bigg|_{t=0}\lambda_j\left(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\right) = -\frac{1}{8}\int_M dvol_g \operatorname{Tr}\left(2kW^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0} - (3k^2 - 2k)W^0_{\psi_j^0}\right),\end{split} \tag{41}$$

where for $\psi^t \in C^\infty(M, V^t)$ *we have introduced the* 2*-tensor field*

$$W^t_{\psi^t}(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \gamma^t(X)\nabla^t_Y\psi^t + \gamma^t(Y)\nabla^t_X\psi^t, \psi^t\right\rangle^t . \tag{42}$$

Proof. The arguments in Bourguignon and Gauduchon ([BG92], pages 593-595) can be extended to a general Dirac operator to prove that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_j(g^t) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathrm{dvol}_g \left\langle \psi^t_j, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{(I+tK_g)^{-\frac{3}{2}}K_g(e_s)}\psi^t_j \right\rangle \tag{43}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\lambda_j(g^t) = & -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathrm{dvol}_g \left\langle \dot{\psi}^t_j, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{(I+tK_g)^{-\frac{3}{2}}K_g(e_s)}\psi^t_j \right\rangle + \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathrm{dvol}_g \left\langle \psi^t_j, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{(I+tK_g)^{-\frac{3}{2}}K_g(e_s)}\dot{\psi}^t_j, \right\rangle + \\ & -\frac{3}{4}\int_M \mathrm{dvol}_g \left\langle \psi^t_j, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{(I+tK_g)^{-\frac{5}{2}}K^2_g(e_s)}\psi^t_j \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

whereas K_g denotes the homomorphismus in $\mathrm{Hom}(TM)$ defined by the equation $k(u,v) = g(K_g(u), v)$ for all $u, v \in TM$. Its representation with respect to a basis is given by

$$K_g(e_s) = \sum_{r=1}^m k(e_s, e_r)e_r, \tag{45}$$

which, inserted into equation 43 for $t = 0$ leads to

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\lambda_j(g^t) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathrm{dvol}_g \operatorname{Tr}\left(kW^0_{\psi^0_j}\right). \tag{46}$$

The representation of K^2_g with respect to a basis is given by

$$K^2_g(e_s) = \sum_{r,o=1}^m k(e_s, e_r)k(e_r, e_o)e_o, \tag{47}$$

which, together with the polarization identity for $A := \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{K_g e_s}$

$$\left\langle \dot{\psi}^0_j, A\psi^0_j \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{l=0}^3 i^l \left\langle \dot{\psi}^0_j + i^l\psi^0_j, A(\dot{\psi}^0_j + i^l\psi^0_j)\right\rangle \tag{48}$$

inserted into equation 44 for $t = 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\bigg|_{t=0} \lambda_j(g^t) &= -\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \,\mathrm{Re}\left\langle \dot{\psi}_j^0, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{K_g e_s}\psi_j^0 \right\rangle + \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4}\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \left\langle \psi_j^0, \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma(e_s)\nabla_{K_g^2(e_s)}\psi_j^0 \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \,\mathrm{Tr}\left(k(W^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0+\psi_j^0} + W^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0-\psi_j^0})\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8}\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \,\mathrm{Tr}\left(k^2 W^0_{\psi_j^0}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Since $W^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0+\psi_j^0} + W^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0-\psi_j^0} = 2(W^0_{\dot{\psi}_j^0} + W^0_{\psi_j^0})$ The proof is completed.

□

Corollary 3.8. *Let M be a compact manifold supporting a Dirac bundle. Assume the existence of a sequence of Riemannian metrics $\{g_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ on M exists, satisfying*

- *Vol$(M, g_j) = 1$ for all $j \geq 0$.*
- *$\{g_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ is contained in a compact set A of symmetric bilinearforms over M with respect to the uniform C^1-topology.*
- *$\lambda_0\left(Q_\gamma^{g_j}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ for $j \to +\infty$.*

Then, there is a Riemannian metric g on M such that

$$\lambda_0\left(Q_\gamma^g\right) = 0. \tag{50}$$

Remark 3.1. This Riemannian metric g is in general not the limit of the sequence $\{g_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ in the uniform C^1-topology.

Proof. Let us consider the set up of theorem 3.7 and evaluate the first two derivatives of the 0th eigenvalue for the choice

$$k = k(g) := \frac{2}{\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\log\|W^t_{\psi_0^t}\|_{L^2(M)}}\frac{W^0_{\psi_0^0}}{\|W^t_{\psi_0^t}\|^2_{L^2(M)}}, \tag{51}$$

for the symmetric tensor field on M, where $|\cdot|^2 := \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $\langle v_1, v_2\rangle := \mathrm{Tr}\,(v_1 v_2^*)$ denote norm and scalar product for quadratic matrices and

$$\|W\|_{L^2(M)} := \left(\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g\,\langle W, W\rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{52}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b(0) &:= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0}\lambda_0(g^t) = -\frac{c}{2} := -\frac{1}{\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\log\|W^t_{\psi_0^t}\|_{L^2(M)}} \\ a(0) &:= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\bigg|_{t=0}\lambda_0(g^t) = -1.\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and for the second order Taylor approximation of the first eigenvalue

$$\lambda_0(g^t) = \frac{1}{2}a(\theta t)t^2 + b(0)t + \lambda_0(g), \tag{54}$$

where $\theta = \theta(t) \in]0, 1[$ and

$$a(t) = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{c}{\|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}}\frac{d}{dt}\|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}. \tag{55}$$

It appears that

$$a(t) = -F(g, t), \tag{56}$$

where the function $F : A \times [0, 1] \to \mathbf{R}$ defined as

$$F(g, t) := \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}}{2\|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}\ \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\log\|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|_{L^2(M)}} \tag{57}$$

is continuous in (g, t) and real holomorphic in t (cf. Thm VII 3.9 in [Ka80], [BG92] and Appendix A in the very recent [He12]). Therefore there exist continuous $f_j : A \to \mathbf{R}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $r : A \to]0, +\infty]$ such that

$$F(g, t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} f_j(g)t^j \quad (|t| < r(g)). \tag{58}$$

Since the function F is uniformly continuous on the compact $A \times [0, 1]$ and $F(g, 0) \equiv 1$, it exists a $T > 0$ independent of g such that

$$F(g, t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } g \in A. \tag{59}$$

Note that T may very well depend on A. For all $(g, t) \in A \times [0, T]$

$$a(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{60}$$

By Taylor's formula 54

$$\lambda_0(g^t) \leq -\frac{1}{2}t^2 + b(0)t + \lambda_0(g), \tag{61}$$

where the right hand side of the inequality vanishes if

$$t = t_\pm := -c \mp \sqrt{c^2 + \lambda_0(g)} \tag{62}$$

for all $t \leq T$. This means that $\lambda_0(g^t) = 0$ as soon as $t = t_0(g)$, where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} C > 0 &\Rightarrow t_0(g) := t_+ = O(\sqrt{\lambda_0(g)}) > 0 \\ C < 0 &\Rightarrow t_0(g) := t_- = O(\sqrt{\lambda_0(g)}) > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

By the hypothesis of the corollary we can choose a Riemannian metric g with arbitrarily small eigenvalue $\lambda_0\left(Q^g_\gamma\right)$. So, we can choose g such that $t_0(g) \leq T$. Thus, if we can prove that $g^{t_0} := g + t_0(g)k(g)$ is a Riemannian metric, then

$$\lambda_0(g^{t_0}) = 0, \tag{64}$$

and the corollary is proved.

First, we have to compute

$$\alpha := \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \|W^t_{\psi^t_0}\|_{L^2(M)}. \tag{65}$$

By theorem 3.7 we have

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{4\alpha}A(g) + \frac{3}{4\alpha^2}B(g), \tag{66}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = A(g) &:= \int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{W^0_{\psi^0_0}}{\|W^0_{\psi^0_0}\|^2_{L^2(M)}}(W^0_{\dot\psi^0_0} + W^0_{\psi^0_0})\right) \\ B = B(g) &:= \int_M d\mathrm{vol}_g \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{(W^0_{\psi^0_0})^3}{\|W^0_{\psi^0_0}\|^3_{L^2(M)}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

The cubic equation 66 has the unique real solution

$$\alpha = \alpha(A, B) = \frac{-3^{\frac{2}{3}}A + 3^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(27B + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{A^3 + 243B^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{6\left(27B + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{A^3 + 243B^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \tag{68}$$

The function $1/\alpha(A, B)$ is bounded in the reals A and B. Let us now investigate the behavior of

$$k = k(g) = \frac{2}{|\alpha(A(g), B(g)|}\frac{W^0_{\psi^0_0}}{\|W^t_{\psi^0_0}\|_{L^2(M)}}. \tag{69}$$

Let $x \in M$ be fixed. Then, for any open $O = O(x) \subset M$

$$\|k\|_{L^2(O)} = \frac{2}{|\alpha(A(g), B(g))|}\frac{\|W^t_{\psi^0_0}\|_{L^2(O)}}{\|W^0_{\psi^t_0}\|_{L^2(M)}} \leq \frac{2}{|\alpha(A(g), B(g))|}. \tag{70}$$

Therefore, for any O the norm $\|k\|_{L^2(O)}$ remains bounded for all g. Since $\mathrm{Vol}(O, g)$ is bounded for all g, it follows that $|k(g)|$ must be bounded on any O and hence on M. Therefore, for $t_0 = O(\lambda_0(g))$ sufficiently small g^{t_0} is positive definite and thus a Riemannian metric. The proof is now completed.

$\square$

In section 4, during the proof of the main theorem 1.1 we will need the following additional result.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $g^t := tg$ for $t > 0$ be a variation of the Riemannian structure g on the compact manifold M carrying a Dirac bundle $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \nabla, \gamma)$ embedded for $t = 1$ into an analytic one-parameter family of Dirac bundles $(V^t, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^t, \nabla^t, \gamma^t)$ a. Then, the eigenvalues of the Dirac operators can be scaled as*

$$\lambda_j(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}) = \sqrt{t}\lambda_j(Q^g_\gamma) \text{ for all } j \geq 0. \tag{71}$$

Proof. We apply theorem 3.7 for the special case $g^t = g - tg = (1-t)g$, that is $k := -g$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_j\left(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_M d\mathrm{vol}_{g^t}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(gW^t_{\psi^t_j}\right) \tag{72}$$

Given a local o.n frame $\{e^t_j\}_{j=1,\dots,m}$ with respect to g^t for TM, we can compute the integrand as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\left(gW^t_{\psi^t_j}\right) &= \sum_{s,r=1}^m g(e^t_s, e^t_r)W^t_{\psi^t_j}(e^t_r, e^t_s) = \sum_{s,r=1}^m \frac{1}{1-t}\delta_{s,r}W^t_{\psi^t_j}(e^t_s, e^t_r) = \\ &= \frac{1}{1-t}\sum_{s=1}^m W^t_{\psi^t_j}(e^t_s, e^t_r) = \frac{1}{1-t}\langle Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\psi^t_j, \psi^t_j\rangle = \\ &= \frac{\lambda_j\left(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\right)}{1-t}\langle\psi^t_j, \psi^t_j\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

After having inserted formula (73) into (71), and utilized the fact that the eigenvectors have unit norm, we obtain an ODE for the unknown function $\lambda^t_j := \lambda_j\left(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma^t}\right)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\lambda^t_j = -\frac{1}{2(1-t)}\lambda^t_j, \tag{74}$$

which can be solved as

$$\lambda^t_j = \sqrt{1-t}\lambda^0_j. \tag{75}$$

Since λ^t_j corresponds to the metric $(1-t)g$ and λ^0_j to the metric g, the statement of the corollary follows and The proof is completed.

□

3.6 Berger Metrics

For any $m \geq 2$ the sphere S^m has a unique spin structure: there are as many spin structures as homology classes in $H^1(S^m, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ (see [LM89] page 89 Theorem 2.1) and $H^k(S^m, \mathbf{Z}_2) = 0$ for $k \neq 0, m$ (see the example on page 46 in [DFN90]). The circle S^1 has two spin structures and only one admits harmonic spinors (cf. [Bär91]). For $k \in \mathbf{N}_1$ the Hopf fibration $S^{2k+1} \to \mathbf{C}P^k$ is a Riemannian submersion if we equip S^{2k+1} with its standard metric of constant curvature 1 and $\mathbf{C}P^k$ with the Fubini-Study metric. The fibers are circles S^1. If we rescale the length of the fibers by some $T > 0$ and keep the metric on the orthogonal complement to the fibers fixed, we obtain a one parameter family of metrics $\{g_T\}_{T>0}$ on S^{2k+1}. Bär ([Bär96]) proved

Proposition 3.10. *The classic Dirac operator on* (S^{2k+1}, g_T) *for* k *odd has* 0 *as eigenvalue for* $T = 2(k+1)$.

4 Proof of the Main Theorem

We split the proof into three steps. First we show under which particular conditions a subsequence of sequence of Riemannian metrics on a compact manifold converges to a symmetric tensor field in the uniform C^1 topology. Next we prove a general lemma about the existence of harmonic sections proved by connecting several results presented in the preceding chapter. Then we specialize to spin manifolds and prove the main theorem by a cross dimensional argument. Finally, we explain why the application of the technique presented does not lead to results in the case of differential forms.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $(g_j)_{j\geq 0} \subset C^\infty(M,S)$*, where* S *denotes the bundle of covariant symmetric* 2 *tensors over* M*, be a sequence of Riemannian metrics. If* $(g_j)_{j\geq 0}$ *is bounded for all Sobolev* H^k *norms, it exists a* $g \in C^\infty(M,S)$*, not necessarily a Riemannian metric, such that* $|g - g_{j_s}|_{C^1} \to 0$ *when* $s \to +\infty$ *for a subsequence* $(j_s)_{s\geq 0}$.

Proof. For $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$ let us introduce the Sobolev space $H^k(M,S)$ of covariant symmetric 2 tensors over M. It is an Hilbert space with scalar product

$$(g,h)_{H^k(M,S)} := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} \int_M d\mathrm{vol}_\chi \, \langle \nabla^\alpha g, \nabla^\alpha h \rangle \tag{76}$$

and norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^k(M,S)} := \sqrt{(\cdot,\cdot)_{H^k(M,S)}}$. Thereby denotes χ a fixed Riemannian metric on M with connection ∇ and $\nabla^\alpha := \Pi_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i})^{\alpha_i}$ for the multiindex $\alpha := (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)$ for a given local orthonormal frame $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,m}$ of TM. Recall that $\langle v_1, v_2\rangle := \mathrm{Tr}\,(v_1 v_2^*)$. The scalar product is defined up to the choice of the reference Riemannian metric χ and a partition of unit subordinate to a finite open cover $(U_l)_{l=1,\cdots,L}$ of M for which there exist local orthonormal frames of TM. Being M compact, the topology of the Sobolev space does not depend on the choices made.

Since the C^k norm is bounded for all g_j and M is compact so is the H^k norm. Being $H^k(M,S)$ an Hilbert space, any bounded closed ball is weak-$*$ compact. Thus, there must be a $g \in H^k(M,S)$ such that for all $h \in H^k(M,S)$

$$(g - g_{j_r}, h)_{H^k(M,S)} \to 0 \quad (r \to +\infty) \tag{77}$$

Since $g \in H^k(M,S)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, it must be smooth: $g \in C^\infty(M,S)$. Since the convergence (77) holds for all h, then $(g_{j_r})_{r\geq 0}$ and their derivatives converge pointwise to g and its derivatives on M. Now let $|\alpha| \leq 1$ be a multiindex. Since M is compact and all the functions involved are continuous, for every $r \geq 0$ there exists a x_r^α such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in M}|\nabla^\alpha g(x) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_r}(x)| = \max_{x\in M}|\nabla^\alpha g(x) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_r}(x)| = \\ = |(\nabla^\alpha g - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_r})(x_r^\alpha)|.\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Being M compact, there exists for every α a convergent subsequence of $(x_r^\alpha)_{r\geq 0}$. More exactly, there is $x^\alpha \in M$ and a subsequence $(r_s)_{s\geq 0}$, which possibly depends on α, such that

$$d(x^\alpha, x_{r_s}^\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty), \tag{79}$$

where the metric function on M is given by

$$d(x,y) := \inf_\gamma \int_\gamma dt \sqrt{\chi(\gamma(t))(\dot{\gamma}(t),\dot{\gamma}(t))}, \tag{80}$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth curves γ on M relying x with y. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^\alpha g\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right) = \left[\nabla^\alpha g\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right) - \nabla^\alpha g(x^\alpha)\right] + \\ + \left[\nabla^\alpha g(x^\alpha) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}(x^\alpha)\right] + \\ + \left[\nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}(x^\alpha) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in M}\left|\nabla^\alpha g(x) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}(x)\right| \leq \left|\nabla^\alpha g\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right) - \nabla^\alpha g(x^\alpha)\right| + \\ + \left|\nabla^\alpha g(x^\alpha) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}(x^\alpha)\right| + \\ + \left|\nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}(x^\alpha) - \nabla^\alpha g_{j_{r_s}}\left(x_{r_s}^\alpha\right)\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

The first summand of the right hand side of the inequality (82) converges to zero because of the continuity of the derivatives of g. The second summand converges to zero as well, because of the pointwise convergence of $(g_{j_{r_s}})_{s\geq 0}$ on M. The third summand converges to zero, too, since, by Sobolev's embedding theorem, the C^1 norms of $g_{j_{r_s}}$ are bounded, $d(x^\alpha, x_{r_s}^\alpha)$ converges to zero and the mean value theorem. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\left|g - g_{j_{r_s}}\right|_{C^1(M,S)} \rightarrow 0. \tag{83}$$

The proof is completed.

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a closed connected Riemannian manifold supporting a Dirac bundle and let N be a 0-codimensional submanifold with boundary ∂N, supporting the Dirac bundle structure inherited by M. If there exists a metric on ∂N, such that the Dirac operator on ∂N admits non trivial harmonic sections, then the same holds true for M, that is, there is a Riemannian metric on M for which the Dirac operator has 0 as eigenvalue.*

Proof. For a $t > 0$ we introduce the following connected sum:

$$\begin{aligned} M^t &:= (M \setminus N) \,\dot\cup\, U^1 \,\dot\cup\, Z^t \dot\cup\, U^{2,t} \,\dot\cup\, N, \text{ where} \\ U^1 &:= [-1, 0] \times \partial N, \\ Z^t &:= [0, t] \times \partial N, \\ U^{2,t} &:= [t, t+1] \times \partial N. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

For all $t > 0$ the manifold M is diffeomorphic to M^t, on which we introduce the following Riemannian metric:

$$\begin{aligned} g^t|_{M\setminus N} &:= g|_{M\setminus N}, \\ g^t|_{U^1} &:= (1-\psi(u))(du^2 + g|_{\partial N}) + \psi(u)(du^2 + \rho^2(0)g|_{\partial N}), \\ g^t|_{Z^t} &:= du^2 + \rho^2(u)g|_{\partial N}, \\ g^t|_{U^{2,t}} &:= (1-\chi(u))(du^2 + \rho(u)^2 g|_{\partial N}) + \chi(u)(du^2 + \rho^2(t)g|_{\partial N}), \\ g^t|_N &:= \rho^2(t+1)g|_N, \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

where $\rho : [0, t] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function defining the metric on the warped product Z^t and $\psi, \chi : \mathbf{R} \to [0, 1]$ are fixed smooth functions, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(u) = 0 \ (u \le -1), \quad \psi(u) = 1 \ (u \ge 0), \\ &\chi(u) = 0 \ (u \le t), \quad \chi(u) = 1 \ (u \ge t+1). \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

By continuity the Dirac bundle structure over (M, g) can be stretched to a Dirac bundle structure over (M^t, g^t). By the Courant-Hilbert Theorem 3.3, the first eigenvalue of the Dirac Laplacian on M^t is dominated by the first Dirichlet eigenvalue on the warped product Z^t, which has been computed in Proposition 3.6. Therefore we have

$$\lambda_0^2(Q^{g^t}_{\gamma_t}) = \lambda_0(P^{M^t}) \le \lambda_0(P^{Z^t}_{B_D}) = \frac{\pi^2}{t^2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (t \to +\infty). \tag{87}$$

We make now the particular choice of $\rho(u) := e^{-\frac{u}{2(m-1)}}$, and consider . Moreover, if we take the metric g^1 as reference the Sobolev H^k norm of the metric over the cylinder Z^t satisfies for all $k \ge 0$ the growth condition:

$$\|g^t\|^2_{H^k(Z^t)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \int_{Z^t} d\mathrm{vol}_{g^1} \left\langle \nabla^\alpha g^t, \nabla^\alpha g^t \right\rangle \le \mathrm{Const}, \tag{88}$$

where Const is a positive constant independent of the parameter t. The diffeomorphism

$$\phi^t : Z^1 \to Z^t, (u, s) \mapsto \phi^t : (u, s) := (tu, s) \tag{89}$$

induces the metric $(\phi^t)^* g^t$ on Z^1 for which, taking $(\phi^1)^* g^1$ as reference metric in equation (76) for the computation of the Sobolev norm

$$\|(\phi^t)^* g^t\|^2_{H^k(Z^1)} \le \mathrm{Const} \cdot (t^4 + t^{2k}) \tag{90}$$

holds true for all $k \geq 0$. Let us consider a smooth function $\varphi_{+\infty} : \mathbf{R} \to [0,1]$ such that

$$\varphi_{+\infty}(u) = 1 \ (u \leq -1, u \geq +2), \quad \varphi_{+\infty}(u) = 0 \ (0 \leq u \leq 1), \tag{91}$$

The smooth functions $\varphi_t : \mathbf{R} \to [0,1]$ defined as

$$\varphi_t := 1 - (1 - e^{-t})(1 - \varphi_{+\infty}) \tag{92}$$

depend on the parameter $t > 0$, have the property

$$\varphi_t(u) = 1 \ (u \leq -1, u \geq +2), \quad \varphi_t(u) = e^{-t} \ (0 \leq u \leq 1), \tag{93}$$

and allow to define the Riemannian metrics on M^1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^t|_{M\setminus N} := g|_{M\setminus N} & h^t|_{Z^1} := \varphi_t(u)(\Phi^t)^* g^t & h^t|_N := \rho^2(2) g|_N \\ h^t|_{U^1} := \varphi_t(u) g^1|_{U^1} & h^t|_{U^{2,1}} := \varphi_t(u) g^1|_{U^{2,1}} & \end{array} \tag{94}$$

whose Sobolev norms, for all $k \geq 0$

$$\|h^t\|^2_{H^k(M^1)} \leq \text{Const} \tag{95}$$

are uniformly bounded in t and have volume bounded and bounded away from zero:

$$\begin{split} \text{Vol}(M^1, h^t) = \underbrace{\text{Vol}(Z^1, h^t)}_{\to 0} + \\ + \underbrace{\text{Vol}(M \setminus N, g) + \text{Vol}(U^1, h^t) + \text{Vol}(U^{2,1}, h^t) + \text{Vol}(N, h^t)}_{\text{bounded in } t}. \end{split} \tag{96}$$

Therefore, if Ψ denotes a fixed diffeomorphism mapping M to M^1, then by corollary 3.9 the metrics $g_j := \text{Vol}(M, (\Psi)^* h^{t_j})^{-\frac{1}{m}} (\Psi)^* h^{t_j}$ satisfy the assumption of lemma 4.1 and corollary 3.8 for a sequence $\{t_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ such that $t_j \to +\infty$ as $j \to \infty$. Hence, there exists a Riemannian metric on M such that the corresponding Dirac operator has zero as eigenvalue. The proof is completed.

$\square$

We can proceed now with the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of theorem 1.1. Let $p \in M$ be fixed. If $\delta(p) > 0$ is the injectivity radius of p, then

$$N := \exp_p \left(\frac{1}{\delta(p)} B^{\mathbf{R}^m}(0,1) \right) \subset M \tag{97}$$

is a geodesic ball centered at p, whose boundary ∂N is diffeomeorphic to S^{m-1}. We analyze several cases:

- $m = 2$: the circle S^1 has two term structures and only one admits harmonic spinors. Therefore lemma 4.2 cannot be applied. This is in accordance with the fact that S^2 has no harmonic spinors for all Riemannian metrics.

- $m = 3$: as we have just seen the classic Dirac operator on S^2 has no vanishing eigenvalues and, again, lemma 4.2 cannot be applied.
- $m \geq 4$: recalling that there is a unique spin stricture on S^{m-1}, we have to distinguish several subcases:
  - $m = 4k + 4$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$. By proposition 3.10, the Berger metric on S^{m-1} admits a non trivial harmonic spinor, so that lemma 4.2 applies and the statement of theorem 1.1 holds.
  - $m = 4k + 5$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$. We can now apply theorem 1.1 to S^{4k+4}, as we have just seen, to conclude that there is a metric on S^{4k+4} admitting non trivial harmonic spinors. We continue with lemma 4.2 and the statement of the theorem is proved.
  - $m = 4k+6$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$. We apply theorem 1.1 to S^{4k+5} and the rest follows analogously.
  - $m = 4k+7$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$. We apply theorem 1.1 to S^{4k+6} and the rest follows analogously.

The proof is completed.

□

Remark 4.1. Why does not it work for the Laplace-Beltrami operator or for the complex Laplacian as well? Of course lemma 4.2 holds for general Dirac bundles and since the constant functions on S^{m-1} are harmonic differential forms of degree 0, we can trivially conclude that there are always non trivial harmonic forms on any Riemannian manifolds, namely the constant functions. The question now is if it is possible to say something for differential forms of fixed degree $k > 0$. Unfortunately, both Euler and Clifford operators do not preserve the graduation of the differential form bundles and there is no version of theorem 3.7 for the Laplace-Beltrami operator or for the complex Laplacian acting on forms of fixed degree. So, the constructions in the proof of lemma 4.2 cannot be mimicked for pure forms. If this were the case, we could conclude for instance that the De Rham cohomology $H_k(S^m, \mathbf{R})$ does not vanish for $k \neq 0, m$, which is of course a contradiction.

5 Conclusion

We have studied the spectrum of a general Dirac Operator under variation of the Riemannian metric and extended a result of Bourguignon and Gauduchon on the first derivative of the eigenvalues from the special case of the spinor bundle to the general Dirac bundle case. In conjunction with the extension of the Courant-Hilbert theorem for upper bounds of the Dirac Laplacian, we proved a result on the existence of Riemannian metrics allowing for harmonic Dirac bundle sections. In particular, we could show that any closed spin manifold of dimension $m \geq 4$ can be always be provided with a Riemannian metric admitting harmonic spinors. Since in dimension $m = 1, 2$, there are counterexamples, the conjecture remains open for $m = 3$.

References

[ADH09] B. AMMANN, M. DAHL and E. HUMBERT, *Surgery and Harmonic Spinors*, Adv. Math. 220 no. 2, (523-539), 2009.

[An96] N. ANGHEL, *Generic Vanishing for Harmonic Spinors of Twisted Dirac Operators*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 124, (3555-3561), 1996.

[AS68] M. F. ATIYAH and I. M. SINGER, *The Index of Elliptic Operators III*, Ann. Math. 87, (546-604), 1968.

[AS71] M. F. ATIYAH and I. M. SINGER, *The Index of Elliptic Operators V*, Ann. Math. 93, (139-149), 1971.

[Bär91] C. BÄR, *Das Spektrum von Dirac-Operatoren*, Bonner mathematische Zeitschriften, 1991.

[Bär92] C. BÄR, *Lower Eigenvalue Estimates for Dirac Operators*, Math. Ann. 293 (39-46), 1992.

[Bär96] C. BÄR, *Metrics with Harmonic Spinors*, Geometric and Functional Analysis 6, (899-942), 1996.

[BS92] C. BÄR and P. SCHMUTZ, *Harmonic Spinors on Riemann Surfaces*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 10 (263-273), 1992.

[BD02] C. BÄR and M. DAHL, *Surgery and the Spectrum of the Dirac Operator*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 552, (53-76), 2002.

[BGV96] N. BERLINE, E. GETZLER and M. VERGNE, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Corrected Second Printing, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Verlag, 1996.

[BW93] B. BOOSS/BAVNBEK, K.P. WOJCIECHOWSKI, *Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators*, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[BG92] J.-P. BOURGUIGNON and P. GAUDUCHON, *Spineurs, Opérateurs de Dirac et Variations de Métriques*, Commun. Math. Phys. 144, (581-599), 1992.

[Cha84] I. CHAVEL, *Eigenvalues in Riemaniann Geometry*, Academic Press, 1984.

[CH93] R. COURANT and D. HILBERT, *Methoden der mathematischen Physik*, Springer, 1993.

[Da05] M. DAHL, *Prescribing Eigenvalues of the Dirac Operator*, arXiv, 2005, To appear in Manuscripta Mathematica.

[Da08] M. DAHL, *On the Space of Metrics with Invertible Dirac Operators*, Comment. Math. Helv. 83 (451-469), 2008.

[DFN90] B. A. DUBROVIN, A. T. FOMENKO and S. P. NOVIKOV , *Modern Geometry-Methods and Applications: Part III. Introduction to Homology Theory*, Springer GTM, 1990.

[Fa98] S. FARINELLI, *Spectra of Dirac Operators over a Family of Degenerating Hyperbolic Three Manifolds*, ETH Diss. 12690, 1998.

[Gi93] P. B. GILKEY, *On the Index of Geometric Operators for Riemannian Manifolds with Boundary*, Adv. in Math. 102, (129-183), 1993.

[Gi95] P.B. GILKEY, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, Second Edition, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, 1995.

[Gre70] P. GREINER, *An Asymptotic Expansion for the Heat Equation*, Global Analysis, Berkeley 1968, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 16, (133-137), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1970.

[Gre71] P. GREINER, *An Asymptotic Expansion for the Heat Equation*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 41, 1971, (163-218).

[Gr96] G. GRUBB, *Functional Calculus of Pseudodifferential Boundary Problems*, Second Edition, Birkäuser, 1996.

[He12] A. HERMANN, *Dirac eigenspinors for Generic Metrics*, Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften and der Fakultät für Mathematik der Universität Regensburg, 2012.

[Hi74] N. HITCHIN, *Harmonic Spinors*, Adv. Math. 14, (1-55), 1974.

[Hö85] L. HÖRMANDER, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Verlag, 1985.

[LM89] H.B. LAWSON and M.-L. MICHELSOHN, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1989.

[Ka80] T. KATO *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, 1980.

[Ma97] S. MAIER, *Generic Metrics and Connections on Spin- and Spin^c-Manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys., 188, (407-437), 1997.

[Mi65] J. W. MILNOR, *Remarks Concerning Spin Manifolds*, S. Cairns (Ed.) Differential and Combinatorial Topology, Princeton, (55-62), 1965.

[Se00] L. SEEGER, *Metriken mit harmonischen Spinoren auf geradedimensionalen Sphären*, Dissertation, Universität Hamburg, 2000.

[Sl66] R. T. SEELEY, *Singular integrals and Boundary Problems*, Amer. J. Math. 88, 1966, (781-809).

[Sl69] R. T. SEELEY, *The Resolvent of an Elliptic Boundary Value Problem*, Amer. J. Math. 91, 1969, (889-920).